



### Summary of Representations made on the Regulation 16 version of the Rudgwick Neighbourhood Development Plan (RNP)

1. This document provides a summary of the representations submitted in accordance with Regulation 16 of the Neighbourhood Planning (General) Regulations 2012 to the Henfield Neighbourhood Development Plan (HNDP). This document is produced in compliance with the Neighbourhood Plan (Referendum) Regulations 2012.
2. Horsham District Council (HDC) published the RNP for consultation for 7 weeks from Friday 2 October to Friday 20 November 2020 in accordance with Part 5 of the Neighbourhood Planning (General) Regulations 2012. Representations were submitted during the publicity period by 17 respondents. The representations were received from statutory consultees, developers and residents.
3. Set out below is a summary of the issues raised in the representations. All representations can be seen in full on our website by following this link: [Rudgwick neighbourhood planning | Horsham District Council](#)

Rep	Name/ Organisation	Date received	Method of submission	Summary of representation
1	Waverley Borough Council	Redacted	Email	No comment
2	Horsham District Council	18/11/2020	Email	Congratulate neighbourhood group's efforts and commitment to engaging the community in the neighbourhood planning process. The Horsham District Planning Framework (HDPF) is the adopted development plan for the district. It notes that all emerging NDPs will assist in taking a share of 1,500 dwellings through the plan process. HDC request the inclusion of a Policy Map to make clear the location of Built Up Area Boundaries in the parish. Request the deletion of policy which duplicates local or national policy. Recommends a series of policy modifications to allow flexibility and ensure policies are phrased positively. Specific comments seeking policy modifications to ensure precision and clarity on policies RNP3, RNP 4 and RNP5
3	Sport England	Redacted	Email	Response highlights the need for Neighbourhood Plans to conform with the relevant paragraphs of the National Planning Policy Framework. The response asks Neighbourhood

				Plan groups to support Sport England in protecting playing fields and signposts to generic guidance provided by Sport England on neighbourhood planning.
4	Resident (Ref 4)	5 October 2020	Representation form	Highlights an omission for the Local Green Space Assessment process. Suggests that the green space between Pathfield Road and Queen Elizabeth Road should be considered for Local Green Space Designation
5	Marine Management Organisation	Redacted	Email	The representation sets out the Marine Management Organisations (MMO) statutory responsibilities in relation to the planning system. The representation provides further information and guidance for Neighbourhood Planning groups to determine whether their plans will have a bearing on the statutory responsibility of the MMO. No specific comment on the RNP
6	Southern Water	Redacted	Email	No comments to make in response to this consultation at this stage after reviewing the document.
7	Resident (Ref 7)	12 November 2020	Representation form	Representation highlights the support and input provided by the individual and the society they represent. Supports the approach of the RNP and offers support for HDC identifying and allocating land for any housing provision for the parish.
8	Surrey County Council	Redacted	Email	No Comment
9	Environment Agency	Redacted	Email	Following a review of constraints in the Neighbourhood Plan area, Environment Agency have no specific comments to make. Signpost to guidance offered by Environment Agency and other statutory authorities
10	Natural England	19 November 2020	Email	The representation sets out the role of Natural England and their duty in relation to Neighbourhood Planning, but has no specific comment on the RNP.
11	Strutt & Parker (on behalf of Welbeck Land)	18 November 2020	Email	The representation commends the Parish Council for their hard work and effort to produce a Neighbourhood Plan which reflects the distinctive characteristics of the parish. Specific comments relating to RNP1 unnecessarily duplicating policies of the wider development plan. The representation comments on a lack of evidence to support policy RNP2 Housing Mix, highlighting the District Councils Strategic Housing Market Assessment and the demand for larger dwellings. The representation highlights concern about HN2 and its impact on viability. General support offered for policy RNP4, except the requirement for building regulations M4(2) being set as a mandatory requirement. Suggest modifications to policy RNP5 and RNP8 to provide further flexibility. General support for policies RNP12 and RNP14.

12	West Sussex County Council	November 2020	Email	The representation highlights the apparent omission of West Sussex comments at Regulation 14. The representation goes on to recommend a series of minor amendments to supporting text in relation to the Public Right of Way network, managed by West Sussex County Council. Specific comments made in relation to Policy RNP9 and appropriate materials to be used for provision of parking or paving. The representation suggests that Policy RNP13 could seek the upgrading of the Public Right of Way network in the parish including further links to the 'Downs Link'
13	Resident	20 Nov	Email	Support
14	Historic England	Redacted	Email	No Comment
15	Highways England	Redacted	Email	The representation sets out Highways England's role as a statutory authority in relation to Neighbourhood Planning. The Representation states no objection to the Neighbourhood Plan. The representation refers to comments made at Regulation 14, specifically for Horsham District Council to assess and mitigate for any impacts on the Strategic Road Network in relation to the proposed housing provision for Rudgwick parish.
16	Resident	Redacted	Representation form	The representation raises concern about modifications to Policy RNP2.2 following the Regulation 14 consultation. The representation highlights concerns regarding the restrictive nature of the policy reducing the flexibility to allow for self-build projects in the parish. The representation raises concern about the inflexibility of the policy as drafted to allow for existing home owners to replace existing dwellings or self-build dwellings. The representation raises concerns regarding policy RNP16 and the restrictions it would place on those looking to extend their bungalow. The representation seeks more flexibility in these specific policies.
17	Resident	Redacted	Representation form	The representation raises concern about modifications to Policy RNP2.2 following the Regulation 14 consultation. The representation highlights concerns regarding the restrictive nature of the policy reducing the flexibility to allow for self-build projects in the parish. The representation raises concerns about the inflexibility of the policy as drafted to allow for existing home owners to replace existing dwellings or self-build dwellings. The representation raises concerns regarding policy RNP16 and the restrictions it would place on those looking to extend their bungalow. The representation seeks more flexibility in these specific policies.